

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
ATTEICATION NO.	TIENO DATE	TIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,630	02/27/2004	Dohn J. Trempala	KNOXX.024C2	7659
20995	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMI	INER
	IARTENS OLSON &	BARRETT, SUZA	NNE LALE DINO	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	92614		3676	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/789,630	TREMPALA, DOHN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2005</u> .					
' =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-17 and 24-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-17,24-30,32,33,36 and 38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>34,35 and 37</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Ll Interview Su Paper No(s)/	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 12/27/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY
 REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Brian Glessner, SPE Art Unit 3676.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3676

3. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 33, it is unclear whether Applicant intends to claim the combination of a locking cap and fire department connection or the subcombination locking cap alone. While the preamble sets forth the subcombination locking cap alone, with the intended use on a fire department connection, the body of the claim later recites "sized and configured to be received by...fire department connection" which would lead one to infer that the combination is intended to be claimed. Since the claim is unclear as it is, if Applicant intends to claim the combination, the combination must be positively claimed.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 28 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 28 recites locking cap limitations which do not further limit the key of claims 24 and 27 from which it depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/789,630

Art Unit: 3676

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

- 6. Claims 1-4,32,36,38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoshino 5,419,650. Hoshino teaches a plug 21 and face plate 32 cap expander assembly for a pipe end 50 comprising a threaded actuator 70, having a configured head with a conventional female slot to receive a conventional male tool member, and attached to a tapered spreader member 41 which expands the plug member 21 into frictional engagement with the pipe walls 50 when locked. The plug comprises a front surface (at 51a (bottom) in Fig.3), rear surface (at 21a (top) in Fig.2), side outer surface (at 21a in Fig.1) and a slot 22 (fig.1) extending longitudinally between the front and rear and radially between the side and a relief opening (the top plane of slot 22 corresponding to the thickness of the wall of plug member 21, i.e. the entrance of the open-ended slot) and further, wherein the inside of the plug forms a channel therethrough (coextensive with the surface 23,24,25 defining the perimeter of the inside wall of plug 21 in Fig.1) and radially displaced from the relief opening (top plane of slot 22), which receives a spreader member 41 and the actuator 70. With respect to new claim 32, the channel coextensive with surface 23 in Fig. 1 is considered "radially displaced" from the relief opening which is interpreted as the top plane portion of slot 22 corresponding to the thickness of the outer side wall of plug 21.
- 7. Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strauch 5,704,261. Strauch et al teach a key/tool member 3 comprising a head 2 having a raised portion on the distal tip thereof to engage a structure, a handle shaft

Art Unit: 3676

3,4, defining a deformation zone without a relief cut which, upon torqueing of the tool beyond a predetermined amount, deforms plastically (col. 4, lines 10-24; claims 10,12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize such a tool/key as taught by Strauch et al, on a locking cap actuator such as that previously discussed with respect to Hoshino. It is noted that the preamble recitation of a "locking cap" key does not impart structure to the key and is considered intended use of the key and not accorded patentable weight. Furthermore, the limitation of claim 28 does not further limit the key structure and is not accorded patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 7 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshino 5,419,650 in view of Borenstein 4,651,771.

With respect to claim 7, Hoshino teaches a plug member on a locking cap but fails to specify the material used. Borenstein et al teach, in col. 4, line 65, the use of brass among other suitable materials for a plug lock member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the material of Hoshino by providing brass as taught by Borenstein et al as an obvious matter of design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,630

Art Unit: 3676

With respect to claim 33, Hoshino teaches the pipe lock cap expander arrangement described above but fails to teach the use of such an expander cap in combination with a fire department connection. Borenstein teaches a fire department connection in a fire hydrant having a pipe 18 with a locking cap 28 therefor having a female patterned pentagonal head 46 which is engaged by a correspondingly patterned tool head 50 to remove the locking cap from the pipe 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Hoshino and Borenstein by providing a locking cap with the pipe cap expander arrangement taught by Hoshino on a fire department connection pipe taught by Borenstein as an obvious matter of design choice in substituting one type of pipe cap for another.

Page 6

- 10. Claims 5,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshino '650 in view of Lanham 6,017,177. Lanham teaches the use of a bolt head 30/35 (Fig. 1) having a female cloverleaf pattern consisting of seven apexes (35) and eight wavy grooves connecting the apexes, actuated by a similarly configured key head 20/25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the female pattern bolt head 22 of Hoshino by providing a cloverleaf configured bolt head and accompanying key head as taught by Lanham to enhance the security of the lock.
- 11. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ball Sr. et al 5,219,254 in view of Young 5,863,166. Ball teaches a locking cap 14, for use on an interiorly threaded bore member, having a face plate 14 with a back surface connected to an expandable plug member 12, and having hexagonal facets which are received in a corresponding hexagonal aperture tool to rotate. The plug member is

Application/Control Number: 10/789,630

Art Unit: 3676

expanded by the screw actuator 36/38 The bolt head facets on the face plate 14 allow for rotation of the plug 12, but not to facilitate expansion or retraction of the plug. Ball fails to teach pin members to be engaged by a corresponding recessed tool instead of the hexagonal facets 14. Young teaches the use of pins and holes (36,38; 44,46) interchangeably between a faceplate 28 and tool 48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to change the tool configuration of the face plate 14 of Ball by substituting pin and hole members as taught by Young since they are recognized art related equivalents. Furthermore, to provide only pins on the faceplate and corresponding holes on the tool would have been considered an obvious matter of design choice.

Page 7

- 12. Claims 24,29 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stehling '501 in view of Strauch et al '261. Stehling teaches a key member having a hole portion (at 40) which is capable of receiving a key ring. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the key of Stehling by providing a deformation zone as taught by Strauch et al as an obvious matter of design choice in enhancing the security of the lock cap.
- 13. Claims 24,30 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borenstein '771 in view of Patterson '831. Borenstein et al teach a key member comprising a T-shaped cross member configuration at one end (49/66) with a hole 66 disposed in one cross member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the key of Borenstein et al by providing a deformation zone as taught

Art Unit: 3676

by Strauch et al as an obvious matter of design choice in enhancing the security of the lock cap.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 31 is allowed.

The limitation in claim 31 requiring the channel to be disposed between the slot side surface and the relief opening defines over Hoshino which clearly teaches the channel (portion coextensive with surface 23 in Fig.1) radially disposed after the slot 22 and relief opening (top plane of slot 22).

15. Claims 34,35,37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The channel of Hoshino intersects the entire slot and not just a portion of the slot as set forth in claim 34. The slot of Hoshino does not extend through "two sides" of the channel as set forth in claim 35 and further, does not teach that the face plate and plug are secured by fasteners (plural), since Hoshino teaches that they are secured only by the fastener 70.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7,15-17,24-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 571-272-7053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Syzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

sdb